

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 2:06-cr-00310-HDM-PAL
12 Plaintiff,) 2:12-cv-00851-HDM
13 vs.) ORDER
14 JOSEPH HALL,)
15 Defendant.)

16 The government has filed a motion for an order waiving the
17 attorney-client privilege with respect to defendant's 28 U.S.C. §
18 2255 motion (#242). The defendant has not opposed the motion, and
19 the time for doing so has expired.

20 Considering the nature of the claims defendant has raised in
21 his § 2255 motion and the fact defendant has not opposed the
22 government's motion, the court finds and concludes that the
23 attorney-client privilege has been waived. *Bittaker v. Woodford*,
24 331 F.3d 715, 716 (9th Cir. 2003) ("[W]here a habeas petitioner
25 raises a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, he waives the
26 attorney-client privilege as to all communications with his
27 allegedly ineffective lawyer."); see also *United States v. Ortland*,
28

1 109 F.3d 539, 543 (9th Cir. 1997). Accordingly, the government's
2 motion for order waiving the attorney-client privilege (#242) is
3 **GRANTED**. Defendant's former attorney, G. Luke Ciciliano, is not
4 prohibited by virtue of the attorney-client privilege from
5 providing testimony and affidavits responsive to the defendant's
6 ineffective assistance of counsel claims.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8 DATED: This 9th day of August, 2012.

9 
10

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28